# Cover Sheet/Check List for TEAM 1391 (+) and PCEs

Project No: Title: "SAMPLE MCO!	<del></del>
Location: OPNAV N44 FORMAT (re	evised 5 May 2001)
A. Major Project Elements Confirmed:    State	C. Attachments:  1. Budget Estimate Summary Sheet  2. Economic Analysis  3. Site Plan  4. Facility Planning Document(s)/P-80 Calculations  5. R-19 (Bachelor Housing Survey)  6. Notice of Violation (NOV)  7. Summary (associate Facility Sustainable Development)  8. Other
4. Operational Requirement documented (11)  5. Current situation (11)  6. Impact if not provided (11)  7. Best Alternative supported by Economic Analysis (11)  8. Siting (incl. AICUZ, airfield safety clearances, EMR, wetlands, explosive safety certification, fire protection certification) (12)  9. Soils, foundation, & seismic considerations (12)	D. Project Team Members (Name/Tel):  Activity: Activity: EFD/EFA: EFD/EFA: Region/Warfare Cntr: Instal Mgt Claimant: NAVFAC: Other:
10. Systems safety (NAVOSH, etc.) (12)	E. Team Meeting Date(s):
☐☐☐ 11. Utility & other infrastructure support (12)☐☐☐ 12. Operating/construction permits identified (12)	☐ On-site ☐ Conference call ☐ VTC
13. Special approvals (include Historical Preservation Section 106 and BEAP) (12)	F. Signatures:
14. Feasibility/Constructibility in FY (12) 15. Environmental (air/water, hazmat, etc.) issues addressed (12)	Activity CO (Meets Military Requirements) Signature/Date
16. NEPA doc's and mitigation issues identified (12) 17. Facility Sustainable Development (12) 18. Equipment from other appropriations (12) 19. Milestones (Project Schedule) (12)	EFD/EFA Cost Engr (Cost Certification) Signature/Date (Anti-terrorism Force Protection Costs Incl)
20. Anti-terrorism/Force Protection (12)	EFD CIBL (Endorsement) Signature/Date
B. Remarks:	Regional Commander (Validation) Signature/Date
	Installation Management Claimant (Validation) Signature/Date
	N34 ATFP (Certification) Signature/Date (NAVFACHQ Coordinates)

1. Component 2. Date FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM NAVY 3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62588 4. Project Title NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL NAPLES, ITALY 5. Program Element 6. Category Code 8. Project Cost (\$000) 7. Project Number The first line in 141.11 P-196 8,500 always the title 9. COST ESTIMATES Cost (\$000) U/M Quantity Unit Cost Item "Built-in equipment" should AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL be used vice "Additional m2 3,960 6,170 Functional Features" or 1,914.00 TERMINAL m2 3,240 (4,000)"Special Costs." Describe in AIR OPERATIONS BUILDING m2**≺** 720 2,031.00 (1,230)Block 10. LS AIRCRAFT WASH RACK (160)The DOD BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT← LS (400)Round costs to abbreviation for INFORMATION SYSTEMS LS → (30) the nearest tene.g. ballistic glass, TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS square meters is LS (110)thousand (It is etc. Detail block 12. "m2", not "SM". ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION< LS (240)acceptable to SUPPORTING FACILITIES show values 1,160 SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES Check-off list para LS (400)less than \$50K). 12 documentation ELECTRICAL UTILITIES LS (70)required. MECHANICAL UTILITIES LS (70)LS PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT (280)FACILITY SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT≺ LS (200) ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION← LS (70)DEMOLITION ← LS (70)e.g. fencing, lighting, If "demolition" is etc. Detail block 12. 7,330 SUBTOTAL indicated in Block 9. guidance, "use → CONTINGENCY (5.0%) 370 it must be described a contingency Use 6% SIOH for in Block 10. CONUS locations. TOTAL CONTRACT COST 7,700 6.5% SIOH for SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%) ← NON-ADD 500 OCONUS SUBTOTAL 8,200 For design-build design cost, use 4% of DESIGN BUILD DESIGN COST (4.0%) ← 290 "Subtotal Cost" (Before contingency). execution." For the time being 8,490 TOTAL REQUEST This line is for all equipment purchases we will continue EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS ← (600)using other appropriations. Items should be listed in Block 12. Do not include percent. This Guidance Unit Cost Analysis collateral equipment costs. may change in Category Guidance Guidance Project Size Area Cost C<u>ode</u> U/M Cost Size Scope **Factor** <u>Factor</u> Unit Cost 0.97 1.30 141-11, AIR PSNGER TERM 1,517 6 930 3,240 1,914.09 m2 141-40, AIR OPS BLDG 1,517 930 720 1.03 1.30 2,031.42 m2 Use most recently published OSD Guidance cost analysis should guidance. If guidance is not available, be done for every applicable For facility types with OSD guidance, it is important to develop a rationale for unit cost used. Primary Facility type. fully justify unit costs which exceed guidance. Exceeding guidance is difficult to justify in the budget Description of Proposed Construction process and should be avoided whenever possible. Two story with basement, steel-frame building, insulated metal wall panels, concrete The information in Blocks 9 and 10 control the scope of the project and foundation and structural floor, built-up roof should be tied together. Block 10 description should include such things on insulated metal decking and steel truss; air passenger processing, waiting and eating Type of work (i.e. alteration, modernization, new construction, etc.) areas; admin space; aircraft parking control facility; emergency equipment storage area; The number of stories of the building vehicle access to basement storage; entrance Construction materials to be used for the foundation, floors, frame, canopy; fire protection system, information walls, and roof, pilings or special foundation features. (this is systems, elevator, baggage equipment; necessary for budget book preparation) utilities and mechanical systems (HVAC); Provide building numbers and floor areas for buildings to be demolition of two buildings; relocation of demolished. Ensure that these facilities have met approval aircraft wash rack and hazardous material pad. requirements such as National Historic Preservation Act, GSA permit(s), and McKinney Act screening.

**DD** Form 1391

Block 9 is

of the project,

Provide

details in

Block 10.

not "Primary

Facilities".

Per OSD

rate that will

budget

provide

sufficient

funding to

unimpeded

ensure

to use 5

the future.

1. Component
NAVY
FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

2. Date

3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62588

NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY NAPLES, ITALY

4. Project Title
AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL

7. Project Number
P-196

(...continued)

Facility will be constructed to seismic zone three. OMSI manuals (dual language) will be provided. All materials used for aircraft wash rack construction shall be non-ferrous because aircraft compasses are calibrated while on the wash rack. The project will demolish Buildings #425 (1,746 m2) & #487 (400 m2).

## 11. Requirement:

## **FACILITY PLANNING DATA**

Cat Code	Requirement	UM	Adequate	Substandard	Inadequate	Deficiency/Surplus
141.11 - Air Passenger Terminal	3,240	m2	0	0	1,746	3,240
141.40 - Air Operations Building	720	m2	0	0	400	720

#### Requirement (Block 11) General Notes) -

- This the most vital part of the 1391 document and contains the information that determines the success or failure of the project. It is the primary
  justification data used at the review levels of CNO, the Navy Comptroller, DoD Comptroller, OMB, and Congress.
- Since the reviewer's understanding of the project is gained through the material provided here, it should be written clearly, concisely, and convincingly.
   Leave no doubt in the reviewer's mind of the necessity for the project.
- There is the misconception that a 1391 should be concise and a one paragraph statements are all the information that should be provided. This is not always the case. Most projects require a detailed description of the existing situation and operational processes in the facility in order to understand the problems the project will correct. This information should be explained here.
- Consider other factors worth mentioning that may also help sell the project (i.e. environmental considerations, benefits to personnel and/or community, consolidation of functions, etc).
- For the most part, the people reviewing this 1391 justification are non-technical analysts and may not be familiar with your activity or your operations.
   Therefore, the requirement block should be written so anyone can understand it and see the need for the project. Avoid the use of technical terms and acronyms.
   Spell out all acronyms at least the first time used.

Scope: The project scope was derived using Air Force Manual 86-2 for category code 141-11 Air Passenger Terminal and NAVFAC P-80 (Ch 3 of Mar 95) for category code 141-40 Air Operations Building. 141-11: Air Passenger Terminal is sized based on peak hour passenger load which is calculated using actual passenger through-put. The peak hour passenger load is 300 PN. 141-40: Air Operations Building size is based on the fact that NSA Naples is an Air Facility, which allows up to 907m2. In this case only 720m2 is required. Project also demolishes Building #425 (1,746 m2) and Building #487 (400 m2). Detailed P-80 calculations on how the scope was derived are

#### Scope:

Provide a summary of NAVFAC P-80 calculations, or other documents (attachments) used to calculate scope. If requirement is based on detailed operational requirements, summarize how the scope was derived based on the quantitative data. For each category code in the project scope include the following:

- Category code number and a brief description of the facility
- Reference NAVFAC P-80 criteria or document used to calculate scope. If P-80 is not used, provide a clear rationale how the scope was produced.
- Include base loading data (e.g. number of ships, aircraft, people, or equipment)

PROJECT: This project constructs a new air passenger terminal and airfield operations facility. (Current Mission)

## Project:

- The Project section usually is one hard hitting opening statement which summarizes the "what" of the project. No other sentences are needed unless they really add something that needs to be highlighted up front.
- "(New Mission)" or "(Current Mission)" is indicated in parentheses at the end of this paragraph.

attached.

1. Component
NAVY
FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

2. Date

3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62588

NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY NAPLES, ITALY

4. Project Title
AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL

7. Project Number
P-196

(...continued)

REQUIREMENT: Adequate and efficiently configured facilities to provide an air passenger terminal and to consolidate air operations functions. Naval Support Activity Naples is the command center for all Naval operations in the Mediterranean. It is the host activity for several commands and provides mission support for U.S. and allied forces in the region. This requires an efficient air terminal capable of handling passenger traffic generated by over 8,000 DOD and civilian personnel stationed in Naples and central Italy as well as the 5,000 to 10,000 personnel aboard ships of the U.S. 6<sup>th</sup> Fleet.

## Requirement:

- The Requirement statements are vital for your project. The first sentence should state the real requirement up front: "Adequate facilities to accommodate ..." or "Adequate operations facilities for ..."
- Follow with a background of your mission and operations and how they drive the requirement for this project.
- Provide workloads, tasks and assignments, and functional operations necessary to make a clear analysis of the requirement. (i.e. quantified workload increases, state-of-the-art advances, personnel growth, and equipment delivery dates).
- Assure the presentation leaves no pertinent questions unanswered.
- Address if the project is being incremented. This block should leave no doubts in the reviewer's mind on the "why" the project if needed.

Tips:

- Avoid extraneous material. The information should not be too technical to understand. On the other hand the information should not be too vague or general.
- The phrase "urgently needed for operational requirements" doesn't tell the reviewer anything. State the requirement that must be satisfied and explain how the project satisfies it.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing air passenger terminal at Capodichino is located in a 45 year old aircraft hangar (Building #405), which has been determined to be seismically unsafe and could collapse in a strong earthquake. In addition, it violates safety and fire protection regulations (NFPA 101). This inadequate and unsafe existing facility needs to be demolished to accommodate additional facilities to be moved from Agnano as part of the Naples Improvement Initiative (NII). This facility presently handles over 60,000 passengers annually and has a peak daily load of 300 passengers. These numbers are not expected to change since the Navy has no plans to significantly downsize any of its operations in Naples.

Also, the downsizing of the Air Force locations throughout central Europe and the increase in operational tempo in the region, have resulted in Naples taking on a more significant role in the Air Mobility Command flight operations.

## **Current Situation:**

- The CURRENT SITUATION statement describes how and under what conditions the requirement is presently being met or not being met.
- Discuss conditions of your facilities that do not allow you to meet or hinder your requirements.
- Give details such as the age of existing buildings being used and describe congested spaces. Provide info on any hazardous conditions, environmental problems, safety citations and violations (please attach this type of documentation to your 1391+ submit), production-line shutdowns and delays, internal and external complaints, non-availability of resources, and utility outages. Comments should support the stated requirement.

Tips:

- Words such as "inadequate", "uneconomical" and "unsatisfactory" contribute nothing to the justification unless fully explained. State precisely what the deficiencies are and why existing facilities cannot fill the need.
- If existing facilities are overloaded, deteriorated beyond economical repair, or outdated, don't use "clichés", instead provide specific information about these conditions.
- Include specific safety and environmental violations when these are cited (provide documentation to back up your statements).

1. Component
NAVY
FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

2. Date

3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62588

NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY NAPLES, ITALY

4. Project Title
AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL

7. Project Number
P-196

(...continued)

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The Navy will not be able to comply with the agreement signed with the Italian Government that calls for demolition of this unsafe facility. The dysfunctional facility will continue to create operational constraints and inefficient air passenger operations. Savings of \$250K/year expected in efficiencies will not be realized. Also, the existing operational hazards to passengers will continue along with the danger of personnel injury due to a building collapse in the event of an earthquake.

# **Impact If Not Provided:**

- The IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED block is not for repeating things that have been said before.
- It should not contain standard clichés like "will adversely
  affect morale and retention rate". What is needed here is
  a hard hitting impact summary describing the manner and
  extent of what will happen to and the effect on activity
  mission accomplishment and/or fleet readiness if this
  project is denied.

#### Tips:

- Many of the people reviewing your project are budget analysts, use quantifiable dollar figures when possible (i.e. Additional cost of \$2M/year not budgeted will have to be spent until facility is provided or Savings in the amount of \$1.5M/year expected for consolidation will not be realized).
- Look at your economic analysis and state some of the findings (i.e. payback, cost avoidance, annual savings).
- 3. There is much coordination required for projects that accommodate new equipment (e.g. OPN) and sometimes this equipment costs much more than the facility to house it. This may be a serious impact to your operations and should be addressed (e.g. Equipment at a cost of \$25M will be delivered and there will be no facility in which to house it).

ADDITIONAL: Economic Alternatives Considered:

# Additional: Economic Alternatives Considered (General Notes):

The economic justification paragraph must discuss each of the following options:

- Status Quo: What is wrong with the operation today? This alternative should not normally include cost for renovations or upgrades, only current
  operational and maintenance expenses.
- Rehabilitation/Modernization/Alteration/Conversion: Are there facilities that can fulfill the requirement when modernized or renovated? If so, what is the
  investment cost? Address alternatives that include a combination of renovation and new construction (i.e. building addition). Rehabilitation can include
  those projects executed in accordance with NHPA requirements.
- Leasing (or Use of Private or Public Sector Capacity): Is leasing an option? How about other DOD facilities nearby? Can the function be contracted out?
- New Construction: Is new construction the only viable alternative? If there are other options, an economic analysis is required.
- Analysis Results: Bottom line Is the proposed project the best economic alternative?

#### Tips:

- 1. In many cases, it will not be possible to identify a viable alternative for each of the above options. An option which does not have a viable alternative may be eliminated from further consideration. However, the option still must be addressed and specific reasons for eliminating the option must be stated. These reasons will not be considered valid unless they meet one of the elimination criteria explained on the shaded block with each alternative discussed below
- 2. If there are two or more alternatives, then the recommended alternative should be supported by an economic analysis, and the results of this analysis must be addressed. An economic analysis for all the projects is required (even for projects with costs below \$2M).
- There are cases where you may have more than one option under one of these alternatives (especially for rehab/modernization and leasing) address them individually.
- 4. Use alternatives that are reasonable and defendable. Cite references on how the numbers used were generated.

1. Component
NAVY

FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62588

NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY NAPLES, ITALY

4. Project Title
AIR PASSENGER TERMINAL

2. Date

7. Project Number
P-196

(...continued)

- a. Status Quo: This is not a viable alternative. Present operations will continue dysfunction of operations and life safety threats. However, for comparison purposes this alternative was evaluated and found to have a net present value cost of \$35,369K.
- b. Renovation/Modernization: This alternative includes all necessary upgrades to the existing facility (Building #405), including repairs, alterations and a new addition. Although technically feasible, renovating the existing building will not correct several problems, since the renovation would only partially alleviate the operational difficulties, and the cost of seismic upgrades would cost as much as new construction. We evaluated this alternative with its shortcomings and it has a net present value cost of \$36,405K.

c. Lease: This is a feasible alternative, however, it has a higher cost than new construction. This alternative considers the leasing of space that needs to be modified for the intended use of an air passenger terminal outside the Capodichino compound while allowing demolition of the existing building. Space for lease that could be modified for this purpose was found at a cost of \$650K/year. However, renovation costs were estimated at \$2M. This alternative increases operational inefficiencies since traveling personnel will have to be transported to this remote location away from the runway at an estimated cost of \$1.6M/year. It also presents security difficulties. Net present value cost for this alternative is \$36,405K.

# Status Quo

The status quo may be eliminated as an option for the following types of projects:

- Projects which support a new or expanded mission and there are no existing facilities which will satisfy the requirement.
- Projects which correct fire, safety or health deficiencies.
- Projects which correct pollution and environmental problems.
- Projects which support a forced relocation and there are no existing facilities which will satisfy the requirement.

#### Renovation/Modernization:

Describe one or more viable alternatives for this option, if possible. Rehabilitation, modernization, alteration, or conversion of an existing facility may be eliminated under the following circumstances:

- There are no available facilities which can be modified to provide satisfactory support for the requirement. This needs a clear explanation.
- A deficiency cannot be corrected for less than 75% of the cost of new construction.
- A needed change or correction is an engineering impossibility.

# Leasing ( or Use of Private Sector Capacity).

Leasing is being looked at more and more as a viable option. You need to look outside of your fence and document what is available. A leasing alternative should always be considered for any proposed facility which will be used for the following purposes:

- Administrative office space.
- ADP space
- Storage space (warehouses, tanks, outside storage).
- Classroom space.
- Medical/dental clinic space.
- Laboratory space.
- Light manufacturing space.
- Piers and wharfs.
- Family Housing.
- Bachelor Quarters.
- Parking
- Child Development Centers.
- Dining Facilities.

If a documented market survey indicates that the desired space is unavailable, then this option may be eliminated.

Note #1 - In general, location will not be accepted as a valid reason to eliminate a leasing alternative unless a case is established as to how this would contribute to a degradation of mission, security, safety, good business practice, excessive travel time, excessive cost, etc.

Note #2 - In general, security will not be accepted as valid reason to eliminate a leasing alternative because the private sector is capable of providing highly secure space.

1. Component NAVY	FY 2003 MILITARY CONST	TRUCTION PRO	GRAM	2. Date
	L ocation/UIC: N62588			
	C ACTIVITY NAPLES, ITALY			
4. Project Title				7. Project Number
AIR PASSENGER	₹ TERMINAL			P-196
(continued)				
alternative, air passenger demolition of the Italian (	ruction: This is the preferred it calls for construction of the runway of the existing building as agreement. New construction resent Value cost at \$31,843K	ed an efficient and includes reed to with has the	alternative. Howe be eliminated as alteration, conver	onstruction is always an ever, new construction may an alternative if the cost of sion, rehabilitation, or less than 75% of the new
calculations construction cost among to discussed in provided as	Results: Net present value indicate that new has the lowest life-cycle he viable alternatives as the Economic Analysis an attachment. It also ractive payback of 5 years.	Analysis Results: Provide a brief summary of the to cite statistics from your deta value, payback periods, saving Exercise some caution if savin and that you can live without the	ailed economic ar gs-to-investment ngs are described	nalysis such as: net present ratios, annual savings, etc. d. Make sure they are real
12. Supplemental D	Data:			
() No, ex	al: btained date: 8/00 pected approval date: yes, please provide discussion	Address a plan.	g or special appro	ovals (i.e. explosive safety) . ms if necessary. Provide site
Yes No     ( ) (X) DDE     ( ) (X) End     ( ) (X) Air     ( ) (X) Cul     ( ) (X) Cle     ( ) (X) Kno         site     ( ) (X) Ope     ( ) (X) Tra     ( ) (X) Aco     ( ) (X) Exi     ( ) (X) Oth  Planning     Consisten     Infrastru     Architect Cultural     (X) Yes	ESB, AICUZ, Airfield, EMR, or langered species/sensitive hab quality tural/archeological resources earing of trees own contamination at selected e/hazardous materials erational problems affic patterns impact oustic Impact sting utilities upgrade	Wetlands Discuss to Discuss to Tra Op End Sel Are Cul Cle bee ser Acu Exi Pro Off Off Off Off Off Off Off Off Off Of	affic flow erational space dangered specie: nsitive habitat ea specific air qua ltural / archaeolo: earing of trees (w en given to future nsitivity of those ti	ality status gical resources then siting, has consideration growth and environmental trees not cleared?) ing in flight path, etc.?)
Host Nation A	Approval:			
Expe ( ) Not	coval Date <u>10/99</u> ected Date Required		This is	lation Approval required for as bases.
National Cap	ital Region Approval:			
Expe	quired coval Date ected Date c Required			proval equired for Washington, DC jects only .

			I a B
1. Component NAVY	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	PROGRAM	2. Date
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N62588		
NAVAL SUPPORT	C ACTIVITY NAPLES, ITALY		
4. Project Title			7. Project Number
AIR PASSENGER	R TERMINAL		P-196
(continued)			
NEPA Document			
Level of N (x) Cat ( ) Env	egorical Exclusion rironmental Assessment (EA)		ut environmental approvals s ( in-process or completed
Mitigation	issues:		
(Yes) (No	)	Mitig	ation Issues
( ) (x) ( ) (x)	Wetlands replacement/enhancement Hazardous waste Contaminated soil/water Historic Properties/Archaeology	Includ	de brief discussion of known atton requirements.
Environmen	tal Cleanup:	<b>Environmental Clea</b>	
( ) Requ St Co		significant amount of required, discuss wh	f known soil conditions. If f environmental cleanup is y DERA funding should not alternative site was not
Yes No ( ) (x) ( ) ( )	Systems safety Soils - foundation and seismic conditions Construction/operational permits Local air quality/wastewater permits Complies with Final Governing Standard (Environmental standard for Spain, Italy and Greece) Land Acquisition (i.e., location, quantity) Technical Operating Manuals Feasibility/Constructibility in FY Equipment delivery/outfitting time table	Technical Operations and Ma Information or OMS For a typical facility minimum the fire projects such as paracquisition do not reconstruction or call Mr. Paul Dalphone: 757-322-46 DaviaPC@efdlant.	ing Manuals (also referred as aintenance Support SI)  In the manuals cover as a rotection system, HVAC and I (DDC) systems. Generally, aving, dredging and land require manuals. See MILten 2 for additional information Via, LANTDIV Code1614, 647 (DSN 262), email:

1. Component NAVY	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N62588	
NAVAL SUPPORT	ACTIVITY NAPLES, ITALY	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
AIR PASSENGER	R TERMINAL	P-196
/ T\		

(...continued)

Yes No
(x) () Physical Security and
Anti-Terrorism/Force
Protection:
 () Shielding
 () SCIF
 (x) Fencing
 () IDS
 () Other Type:

# **Physical Security:**

Intrusion Detection System (IDS) equipment acquisition and installation are normally funded with OPN. Facility items that are MCON project funded in support of IDS include:

- Equipment spaces for IDS
- Alarm control centers
- Chain link fencing, door hardware, security lighting
- Permanently installed power, control, and utility systems for IDS.

## Anti-terrorism/Force Protection (ATFP):

Ensure ATFP requirements are addressed in compliance with the DoD Interim ATFP construction standards, 16DEC99.

- Primary Facilities: The entry under primary facility will show physical improvements (e.g. special structural improvements, ballistic glass, etc.).
   Where land acquisition serves a specific purpose such as stand-off distance for force protection, the acquisition shall be listed as an antiterrorism force protection subordinate component to the primary facility.
- Supporting Facilities: Physical security site improvements (e.g. fencing, perimeter/area lighting, blast mitigation barriers, berms and landscaping, etc.

Budget Estimate Summary Sheet:

# **Budget Estimate Summary Sheet**

This information can be provided as an attachment in lieu of inserting here. SOUTHDIV has developed an Excel workbook that can help you with this task. You may use it, if desire. A copy of this Excel workbook is attached. An electronic version can be requested from Mr. Ed Shank, SOUTHDIV Code 077, phone 803-820-7463; email "shankeg@efdsouth.navfac.navy.mil."

Built-in Equipment:

<u>Item</u>	$\mathbf{UM}$	<b>Quantity</b>	<u>Unit Cost</u>	<u>Total</u>
Elevator	LS	1	125,000	125,000
Baggage Equip.	LS	1	275,000	275,000

## Built-In equipment

Include only high-cost built-in equipment items, such as elevators, communications systems, vibration-isolated flooring, clean rooms, High-altitude Electromagnetic Pulse (HEMP) shielding, TEMPEST shielding, computer flooring, uninterrupted power supply (UPS), controlled humidity, or controlled environment, and sound attenuation (only if significant in cost, otherwise mention in block 10 only)

Special Construction Features:

<u>Item</u>	<u>UM</u>	<b>Quantity</b>	<b>Unit Cost</b>	<u>Total</u>
Shoring	m2	574	314	180,000
Ramp	LS	1	50,000	50,000
Structural Floor	m2	1485	67	100,000
Foundation	m2	1485	94	140,000

# Special Foundation Features

Consider adequacy of soils, foundation & seismic zone, also basement excavation and shoring.

1. Component NAVY	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N62588	
NAVAL SUPPORT	ACTIVITY NAPLES, ITALY	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
AIR PASSENGER	R TERMINAL	P-196

#### (...continued)

Utilities and Site Improvements:

<u>Item</u>	<u>UM</u>	<b>Quantity</b>	<b>Unit Cost</b>	<b>Total</b>
Electrical				
Area Lighting Substation/ transformer	LS LS	10 264	2,000 189	20,000
Mechanical				
Water Distribution Fire Protection Fuel Storage Sanitary Sewer	m m L m	150 100 1875 100	100 270 8 130	15,000 27,000 15,000 13,000
Pavement				
Flexible Parking Flexible Roads Concrete Parking Concrete Aprons Concrete Walkways	m2 m2 m2 m2 m2	1000 600 350 600 100	40 43 60 73 20	40,000 26,000 21,000 44,000 2,000
Site Improvements				
Storm Drainage Earthwork Topsoil/Seed/Sod Landscaping	m m3 m2 LS	316 1000 2500 1	174 66 6 11,000	55,000 66,000 15,000 11,000
Demolition				
Remove Buildings #425 & #487	m2	10,000	27	270,000

# **Utilities and Site Improvements:**

For DD-1391 + provide the items and the best information available. For PCE provide more refined cost. Consider user hours of operation when designing systems (will systems be in use constantly or is there down-time?

## Electrical

- Consider adequacy of utility and infrastructure support necessary such as primary electrical distribution, transformers or substations, area lighting and communications.
- Consider system redundancy (UPS, etc.).
- Lightning protection.

# Mechanical

 Consider adequacy of mechanical infrastructure necessary such as chilled water, steam, gas, and water distribution, fire protection water, sanitary sewer, and fuel storage.

## Pavement

 Consider adequacy of asphalt or concrete roads, parking, walkways or aprons.

## Site Improvements

 Consider site-work required such as earthwork, topsoil, seed, landscaping, irrigation, storm drainage and water ponds.

## Demolition

- Provide BUILDING #'s of buildings / structures to be demolished.
- Indicate the AREA (m2) to be demolished.

1. Component NAVY	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM		2. Date
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N62588		
NAVAL SUPPORT	C ACTIVITY NAPLES, ITALY		
4. Project Title		7. F	Project Number
AIR PASSENGER	? TERMINAL		P-196
(continued)			

# Estimated Design Data:

Estimated Design Data needs only be included with PCE submit.

4/03

4/04

		1 OL odbillit.		
1.	Status: (A) Date Design Start (PCE authorization) (B) Date Design 35% Complete (RFP for Design (C) Date Design Completed (D) Percent Completed as of September 2001 (E) Percent Complete as of January 2002 (F) Type of Design Contract (G) Parametric Estimate used to develop cost (H) Energy study/life-cycle analysis perform	De	Dec May Apr 5% 10% sign Yes Yes	02
2.	Basis: (A)Standard or Definitive Design: (B)Where Design Was Most Recently Used:		No N/A	
3.	Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) or (D) + (E): (A)Production of Plans and Specifications (B)All other Design Costs (C)Total (D)Contract (E)In-House		\$0K \$225 \$225 \$75F \$150	K K
4.	Contract Award		10/0	)2

Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations:

# **Equipment from other appropriations:**

5. Construction Start

6. Construction Complete

- Projects that support equipment being procured with other funding are cross referenced with the equipment funding budget and procurement schedule/delivery/installations milestones to assure a timely coordination.
- Include in table below major equipment items with a cost of \$500K and above . Lump all low cost equipment into one line item as necessary.
- Examples Include: Computer systems, collateral equipment, flight trainers, automated storage equipment, material handling equipment, fire fighting trainers, R&D support equipment.

,			<u>Installation</u>	Shakedown	<u>IOC</u>	
	<b>Funding</b>		Start-End	Start-End	<u>date</u>	Cost
Major Equipment	Source	Funding Year	Mo/Yr	Mo/Yr	Mo/Yr	<u>(000)</u>
Computer equipment	OPN	2003	Mar 04/Apr 04	Mar 04/Apr 04	Apr04	600
(various)						
Collateral Equipment	O&M	2003	Apr04/Apr04	N/A	N/A	500
(various)						

Collateral Equipment totals should not be displayed as part of the "Equipment from Other Appropriations" on Block 9 of the 1391.

1 Component	I	2. Date
1. Component NAVY	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62588		
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY NAPLES, ITALY		
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
AIR PASSENGE	R TERMINAL	P-196
(continued)		
Facility Sustainable Development (E.O. 13123 refers): "Design of Sustainable Facilities and Infrastructure", team focus has been applied with improvements proposed beyond guidance cost. Justification required for each item checked. Final design authorization will confirm acceptance of features discussed. We are accepting the Green Building Councils LEED tm rating system, on a self-certification basis, along with cost impact analysis as justification:		
<ul> <li>Yes No</li> <li>(x) () Increased energy conservation of integrated building systems beyond DoD standards where preliminary calculation demonstrates Life Cycle Cost (LCC) benefit.</li> <li>( ) ( ) Use of renewable energy resources where LCC demonstrates feasibility.</li> <li>( ) ( ) Monitoring and/or reduction or elimination of toxic and harmful substances in building environment.</li> <li>( ) ( ) Life cycle cost analysis which includes value of increased or enhanced</li> </ul>		
personnel productivity.  ( ) ( ) Efficiency in water resource conservation from recycled use, ground		
recharge, etc. supported on a cost or locale requirement basis.  () () Increased use of materials and products with recycled and/or recyclable content. Generally expected to be competitive in the market and within guidance cost.		
<ul> <li>( ) Recycling of construction waste and building materials after demolition.</li> <li>( ) Reduction in waste products as a consequence of construction.</li> </ul>		
() () Building systems commissioning to assure full interoperability.		
Activity POC: LT JOHN Q. CECOS Phone No: (555) 555-1234		
(x) 2. Econ (x) 3. Site	Office Affairysis	of attachments is available, please
Calculations () 5. Determination of Bachelor Housing Requirements (R-19) () 6. Notice of Violation (NOV) () 7. Cost summaries associated with sustainable development. Shall not exceed 5% of program cost. () 8. Other		